arXiv:1410.7636v1 [math.CA] 5 Oct 2014

STRONG CONVERGENCE THEOREMS OF WALSH-FEJÉR MEANS

GEORGE TEPHNADZE

Abstract. As main result we prove that Fejér means of Walsh-Fourier series are uniformly bounded operators from H_p to H_p $(0 < p \leq 1/2)$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42C10.

Key words and phrases: Walsh system, Fejér means, martingale Hardy space, strong convergence.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that Walsh-Paley system forms not basis in the space $L_1(G)$. Moreover, there is a function in the dyadic Hardy space $H_1(G)$, such that the partial sums of F are not bounded in L_1-norm. However, in Simon [19] the following estimation was obtained for all $F \in H_1(G)$:

$$\frac{1}{\log n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{\|S_kF\|_1}{k} \leq c\,\|F\|_{H_1},$$

where S_kF denotes the k-th partial sum of the Walsh-Fourier series of F. (For the trigonometric analogue see in Smith [21], for the Vilenkin system in Gát [5]). Simon [18] (see also [20] and [28]) proved that there is an absolute constant c_p, depending only on p, such that

$$\frac{1}{\log^{[p]} n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{\|S_kF\|_p^p}{k^{2-p}} \leq c_p\,\|F\|_{H_p}^p, \quad (0 < p \leq 1), \tag{1}$$

for all $F \in H_p$, where $[p]$ denotes integer part of p.

Weisz [30] considered the norm convergence of Fejér means of Walsh-Fourier series and proved the following:

Theorem W1 (Weisz). Let $p > 1/2$ and $F \in H_p$. Then

$$\|\sigma_kF\|_{H_p} \leq c_p\,\|F\|_{H_p}.$$

This theorem implies that

$$\frac{1}{n^{2p-1}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{\|\sigma_kF\|_{H_p}^p}{k^{2-2p}} \leq c_p\,\|F\|_{H_p}^p, \quad (1/2 < p < \infty).$$

If Theorem W1 holds for $0<p\leq 1/2$, then we would have

$$\frac{1}{\log^{[1/2+p]}n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{\|\sigma_k F\|_{H_p}^p}{k^{2-2p}}\leq c_p\|F\|_{H_p}^p, \qquad (0<p\leq 1/2). \tag{2}$$

However, Goginava [10] (see also [22]) proved that the assumption $p>1/2$ in Theorem W1 is essential. In particular, the following is true:

Theorem G (Goginava). Let $0<p\leq 1/2$. Then there exists a martingale $F\in H_p$, such that

$$\sup_n \|\sigma_n F\|_p=+\infty.$$

As main result we prove that (2) holds, though Theorem W1 is not true for $0<p\leq 1/2$.

The results for summability of Fejér means of Walsh-Fourier series can be found in [3, 4], [7, 8], [12], [14, 16], [23, 27].

2. Definitions and Notations

Let $\mathbb{N}_+$ denote the set of the positive integers, $\mathbb{N}:=\mathbb{N}_+\cup\{0\}$. Denote by Z_2 the discrete cyclic group of order 2, that is $Z_2:=\{0,1\}$, where the group operation is the modulo 2 addition and every subset is open. The Haar measure on Z_2 is given so that the measure of a singleton is $1/2$.

Define the group G as the complete direct product of the group Z_2 with the product of the discrete topologies of Z_2's.

The elements of G represented by sequences

$$x:=(x_0,x_1,...,x_j,...) \qquad (x_k=0,1).$$

It is easy to give a base for the neighborhood of G

$$I_0(x):=G,$$

$$I_n(x):=\{y\in G\mid y_0=x_0,...,y_{n-1}=x_{n-1}\}\ (x\in G,\ n\in\mathbb{N}).$$

Denote $I_n:=I_n(0)$ for $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\overline{I_n}:=G\setminus I_n$.

Let

$$e_n:=(0,...,0,x_n=1,0,...)\in G \qquad (n\in\mathbb{N}).$$

It is evident

$$\overline{I_M}=\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{M-2}\bigcup_{l=k+1}^{M-1}I_{l+1}(e_k+e_l)\right)\bigcup\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{M-1}I_M(e_k)\right). \tag{3}$$

If $n\in\mathbb{N}$, then every n can be uniquely expressed as $n=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}n_j2^j$ where $n_j\in Z_2$ $(j\in\mathbb{N})$ and only a finite number of n_j's differ from zero. Let $|n|:=\max\{j\in\mathbb{N},\ n_j\neq 0\}$, that is $2^{|n|}\leq n\leq 2^{|n|+1}$.

Define the variation of an $n\in\mathbb{N}$ with binary coefficients $(n_k,\ k\in\mathbb{N})$ by

$$V(n)=n_0+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|n_k-n_{k-1}|.$$

For $n=\sum_{i=1}^{s}2^{n_i}$, $n_1<n_2<...<n_s$ we denote

$$n^{(i)}=2^{n_1}+...+2^{n_{i-1}},\quad i=2,...,s$$

and

$$\mathbb{A}_{0,2}=\left\{n\in\mathbb{N}:\ n=2^0+2^2+\sum_{i=3}^{s_n}2^{n_i}\right\}.$$

For every $n\in\mathbb{N}$ there exists numbers $0\leq l_1\leq m_1\leq l_2-2<l_2\leq m_2\leq ...\leq l_s-2<l_s\leq m_s$ such that it can be uniquely expressed as $n=\sum_{i=1}^{s}\sum_{k=l_i}^{m_i}2^k$, where s is depending only on n. It is easy to show that $s\leq V(n)\leq 2s+1$.

Define k-th Rademacher functions as

$$r_k(x):=(-1)^{x_k}\qquad(\ x\in G,\ k\in\mathbb{N}).$$

Now, define the Walsh system $w:=(w_n:n\in\mathbb{N})$ on G in the following way:

$$w_n(x):=\prod_{k=0}^{\infty}r_k^{n_k}(x)=r_{|n|}(x)(-1)^{\sum\limits_{k=0}^{|n|-1}n_kx_k}\qquad(n\in\mathbb{N}).$$

The Walsh system is orthonormal and complete in $L_2(G)$ (see [13]).

If $f\in L_1(G)$ we can establish the Fourier coefficients, the partial sums of the Fourier series, the Fejér means, the Dirichlet and Fejér kernels in the usual manner:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{f}(k) &:= \int_G f w_k d\mu \qquad (k\in\mathbb{N}),\\
S_nf &:= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\widehat{f}(k)w_k \qquad (n\in\mathbb{N}_+,S_0f:=0),\\
\sigma_nf &:= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}S_kf \qquad (\ n\in\mathbb{N}_+\ ),\\
D_n &:= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}w_k \qquad (n\in\mathbb{N}_+),\\
K_n &:= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}D_k \qquad (\ n\in\mathbb{N}_+\ ).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$D_{2^n}(x)=\begin{cases}2^n, & \text{if } x\in I_n,\\ 0, & \text{if } x\notin I_n.\end{cases}\tag{4}$$

Let $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Then (see [13])

$$\frac{1}{8}V(n)\leq\|D_n\|_1\leq V(n).\tag{5}$$

It is well-known that (see [11, 13])

$$\sup_n \int_G |K_n(x)|\, d\mu(x) \leq c < \infty \tag{6}$$

and

$$nK_n = \sum_{r=1}^{s} \left(\prod_{j=r+1}^{s} w_{2^{n_j}} \right) 2^{n_r} K_{2^{n_r}} + \sum_{t=2}^{s} \left(\prod_{j=t+1}^{s} w_{2^{n_j}} \right) n^{(t)} D_{2^{n_t}}, \tag{7}$$

for $n = \sum_{i=1}^{s} 2^{n_i}$, $n_1 < n_2 < ... < n_s$.

For 2^n-th Fejér kernel we have following equality:

$$K_{2^n}(x) = \begin{cases} 2^{t-1}, & \text{if } x \in I_n(e_t), \\ \frac{2^n+1}{2}, & \text{if } x \in I_n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

for $n > t$ and $t, n \in \mathbb{N}$, (see [6]).

The norm (or quasinorm) of the space $L_p(G)$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_p := \left(\int_G |f|^p \, d\mu \right)^{1/p} \qquad (0 < p < \infty).$$

The space $L_{p,\infty}(G)$ consists of all measurable functions f for which

$$\|f\|_{L_{p,\infty}} := \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \mu(f > \lambda)^{1/p} < +\infty.$$

The $\sigma-$algebra generated by the intervals $\{I_n(x) : x \in G\}$ will be denoted by $F_n\,(n \in \mathbb{N})$. The conditional expectation operators relative to $F_n\,(n \in \mathbb{N})$ are denoted by E_n.

A sequence $F = (F_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N})$ of functions $F_n \in L_1(G)$ is said to be a dyadic martingale if (for details see e.g. [13])

(i) F_n is F_n measurable for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

(ii) $E_n F_m = F_n$ for all $n \leq m$.

The maximal function of a martingale F is defined by

$$F^* = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} |F_n|.$$

In case $f \in L_1(G)$, the maximal functions are also be given by

$$f^*(x) = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\mu(I_n(x))} \left| \int_{I_n(x)} f(u)\, d\mu(u) \right|.$$

For $0 < p < \infty$ the Hardy martingale spaces $H_p(G)$ consist of all martingale for which

$$\|F\|_{H_p} := \|F^*\|_p < \infty.$$

A bounded measurable function a is p-atom, if there exist a dyadic interval I, such that

$$\begin{cases} a) & \int_I a d\mu = 0, \\ b) & \|a\|_\infty \leq \mu(I)^{-1/p}, \\ c) & \operatorname{supp}(a) \subset I. \end{cases}$$

The dyadic Hardy martingale spaces $H_p(G)$ for $0 < p \leq 1$ have an atomic characterization. Namely the following theorem is true (see [17] and [27]):

Theorem W2: A martingale $F = (F_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N})$ is in $H_p\,(0 < p \leq 1)$ if and only if there exists a sequence $(a_k,\ k \in \mathbb{N})$ of p-atoms and a sequence $(\mu_k,\ k \in \mathbb{N})$ of a real numbers such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k S_{2^n} a_k = F_n \tag{9}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p < \infty,$$

Moreover, $\|F\|_{H_p} \backsim \inf\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p\right)^{1/p}$, where the infimum is taken over all decomposition of F of the form (9).

It is easy to check that for every martingale $F = (F_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the limit

$$\widehat{F}(k) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_G F_n(x)\, w_k(x)\, d\mu(x) \tag{10}$$

exists and it is called the k-th Walsh-Fourier coefficients of F.

If $F := (E_n f : n \in \mathbb{N})$ is regular martingale generated by $f \in L_1(G)$, then

$$\widehat{F}(k) = \int_G f(x)\, w_k(x)\, d\mu(x) = \widehat{f}(k),\ k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For the martingale

$$F = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (F_n - F_{n-1})$$

the conjugate transforms are defined as

$$\widetilde{F^{(t)}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_n(t)\,(F_n - F_{n-1}),$$

where $t \in G$ is fixed. Note that $\widetilde{F^{(0)}} = F$. As is well known (see [30])

$$\left\|\widetilde{F^{(t)}}\right\|_{H_p} = \|F\|_{H_p} \tag{11}$$

and

$$\|F\|_{H_p}^p \sim \int_G \left\| \widetilde{F^{(t)}} \right\|_p^p dt. \tag{12}$$

3. Formulation of Main Results

Theorem 1. *a) Let $0 < p \leq 1/2$. Then there exists absolute constant c_p, depending only on p, such that*

$$\frac{1}{\log^{[1/2+p]} n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{\|\sigma_m F\|_{H_p}^p}{m^{2-2p}} \leq c_p \|F\|_{H_p}^p .$$

b) Let $0 < p < 1/2$ and $\Phi : \mathbb{N}_+ \to [1, \infty)$ is any nondecreasing function, satisfying the conditions $\Phi(n) \uparrow \infty$ and

$$\overline{\lim_{k\to\infty}} \frac{2^{k(2-2p)}}{\Phi(2^k)} = \infty.$$

Then there exists a martingale $F \in H_p$, such that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|\sigma_m F\|_{L_{p,\infty}}^p}{\Phi(m)} = \infty.$$

Theorem 2. *Let $F \in H_{1/2}$. Then*

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}_+} \sup_{\|F\|_{H_p}\leq 1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \|\sigma_m F\|_{1/2}^{1/2} = \infty.$$

4. AUXILIARY PROPOSITIONS

Lemma 1. [29] *Suppose that an operator T is sublinear and for some $0 < p \leq 1$*

$$\int_{\overline{I}} |Ta|^p \, d\mu \leq c_p < \infty,$$

for every p-atom a, where I denote the support of the atom. If T is bounded from L_∞ to L_∞, then

$$\|TF\|_p \leq c_p \|F\|_{H_p} .$$

Lemma 2. [9] *Let $x \in I_{l+1}(e_k + e_l)$, $k = 0, ..., M-2$, $l = 0, ..., M-1$. Then*

$$\int_{I_M} |K_n(x+t)| \, d\mu(t) \leq \frac{c 2^{l+k}}{n 2^M}, \quad \text{for } n > 2^M.$$

Let $x\in I_{M}\left(e_{k}\right),\ m=0,...,M-1.$ *Then*

$$\int_{I_{M}}\left|K_{n}\left(x+t\right)\right|d\mu\left(t\right)\leq\frac{c2^{k}}{2^{M}},\quad for\quad n>2^{M}.$$

The next estimation of Fejér kernel is generalized of Lemma 2 in [1] (see also [2]).

Lemma 3. *Let* $n=\sum_{i=1}^{s}\sum_{k=l_{i}}^{m_{i}}2^{k},\quad where\ 0\leq l_{1}\leq m_{1}\leq l_{2}-2<l_{2}\leq m_{2}\leq...\leq l_{s}-2<l_{s}\leq m_{s}.$ *Then*

$$n\left|K_{n}\left(x\right)\right|\geq\frac{2^{2l_{i}}}{16},\quad for\quad x\in I_{l_{i}+1}\left(e_{l_{i}-1}+e_{l_{i}}\right).$$

Proof: From (7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}nK_{n} &= \sum_{r=1}^{s}\sum_{k=l_{r}}^{m_{r}}\left(\prod_{j=r+1}^{s}\prod_{q=l_{j}}^{m_{j}}w_{2^{q}}\prod_{j=k+1}^{m_{r}}w_{2^{j}}\right)2^{k}K_{2^{k}}\\ &\quad+\sum_{r=1}^{s}\sum_{k=l_{r}}^{m_{r}}\left(\prod_{j=r+1}^{s}\prod_{q=l_{j}}^{m_{j}}w_{2^{q}}\prod_{j=k+1}^{m_{r}}w_{2^{j}}\right)\left(\sum_{t=1}^{r-1}\sum_{q=l_{t}}^{m_{t}}2^{q}+\sum_{q=l_{r}}^{k-1}2^{q}\right)D_{2^{k}}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $x\in I_{l_{i}+1}\left(e_{l_{i}-1}+e_{l_{i}}\right).$ Then

$$n\left|K_{n}\right|\geq\left|2^{l_{i}}K_{2^{l_{i}}}\right|-\sum_{r=1}^{i-1}\sum_{k=l_{r}}^{m_{r}}\left|2^{k}K_{2^{k}}\right|-\sum_{r=1}^{i-1}\sum_{k=l_{r}}^{m_{r}}\left|2^{k}D_{2^{k}}\right|=I-II-III.$$

Using (8) we have

$$I=\left|2^{l_{i}}K_{2^{l_{i}}}\left(x\right)\right|=\frac{2^{2l_{i}}}{4}. \tag{13}$$

Since $m_{i-1}\leq l_{i}-2$ we obtain that

$$II\leq\sum_{n=0}^{l_{i}-2}\left|2^{n}K_{2^{n}}\left(x\right)\right|\leq\sum_{n=0}^{l_{i}-2}2^{n}\frac{\left(2^{n}+1\right)}{2}\leq\frac{2^{2l_{i}}}{24}+\frac{2^{l_{i}}}{4}-\frac{2}{3}. \tag{14}$$

For III we have

$$III\leq\sum_{k=0}^{l_{i}-2}\left|2^{k}D_{2^{k}}\left(x\right)\right|\leq\sum_{k=0}^{l_{i}-2}4^{k}=\frac{2^{2l_{i}}}{12}-\frac{1}{3}. \tag{15}$$

Combining (13-15) we have

$$n\left|K_{n}\left(x\right)\right|\geq I-II-III\geq\frac{2^{2l_{i}}}{8}-\frac{2^{l_{i}}}{4}+1. \tag{16}$$

Suppose that $l_{i}\geq2.$ Then

$$n\left|K_{n}\left(x\right)\right|\geq\frac{2^{2l_{i}}}{8}-\frac{2^{2l_{i}}}{16}\geq\frac{2^{2l_{i}}}{16}.$$

If $l_i=0$ or $l_i=1$ using (16) we have

$$n\left|K_n\left(x\right)\right|\geq\frac{7}{8}\geq\frac{2^{2l_i}}{16}.$$

Lemma 3 is proved.

5. Proof of the Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that

$$\frac{1}{\log^{[1/2+p]}n}\sum_{m=1}^{n}\frac{\left\|\sigma_mF\right\|_p^p}{m^{2-2p}}\leq c_p\left\|F\right\|_{H_p}^p.$$

Combining (11) and (12) we have

$$\begin{aligned}(17)\qquad &\frac{1}{\log^{[1/2+p]}n}\sum_{m=1}^{n}\frac{\left\|\sigma_mF\right\|_{H_p}^p}{m^{2-2p}}\\ =\;&\frac{1}{\log^{[1/2+p]}n}\sum_{m=1}^{n}\frac{\int_G\left\|\widetilde{\sigma_mF^{(t)}}\right\|_p^p dt}{m^{2-2p}}\\ =\;&\frac{1}{\log^{[1/2+p]}n}\sum_{m=1}^{n}\frac{\int_G\left\|\sigma_m\widetilde{F^{(t)}}\right\|_p^p dt}{m^{2-2p}}\\ =\;&\int_G\frac{1}{\log^{[1/2+p]}n}\sum_{m=1}^{n}\frac{\left\|\sigma_m\widetilde{F^{(t)}}\right\|_p^p}{m^{2-2p}}dt\\ \leq\;&c_p\int_G\left\|\widetilde{F^{(t)}}\right\|_{H_p}^p dt\sim c_p\int_G\left\|F\right\|_{H_p}^p dt=c_p\left\|F\right\|_{H_p}^p.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1 and (17) the proof of theorem 1 will be complete, if we show that

$$\frac{1}{\log^{[1/2+p]}n}\sum_{m=1}^{n}\frac{\left\|\sigma_ma\right\|_p^p}{m^{2-2p}}\leq c<\infty,\qquad m=1,2,...$$

for every p-atom a. We may assume that a be an arbitrary p-atom with support I, $\mu\left(I\right)=2^{-M}$ and $I=I_M$. It is easy to see that $\sigma_n\left(a\right)=0$, when $n\leq 2^M$. Therefore we can suppose that $n>2^M$.

Let $x\in I_M$. Since σ_n is bounded from L_∞ to L_∞ (the boundedness follows from (6)) and $\left\|a\right\|_\infty\leq 2^{M/p}$ we obtain

$$\int_{I_M}\left|\sigma_ma\left(x\right)\right|^p d\mu\left(x\right)\leq\left\|\sigma_ma\right\|_\infty^p/2^M\leq c<\infty,\ 0<p\leq 1/2.$$

Let $0<p\leq 1/2$. Hence

$$\frac{1}{\log^{[1/2+p]}n}\sum_{m=1}^{n}\frac{\int_{I_M}\left|\sigma_m a\left(x\right)\right|^p d\mu\left(x\right)}{m^{2-2p}}\leq\frac{c}{\log^{[1/2+p]}n}\sum_{m=1}^{n}\frac{1}{m^{2-2p}}\leq c<\infty.$$

It is easy to show that

$$\left|\sigma_m a\left(x\right)\right|\leq\int_{I_M}\left|a\left(t\right)\right|\left|K_m\left(x+t\right)\right|d\mu\left(t\right)\leq 2^{M/p}\int_{I_M}\left|K_m\left(x+t\right)\right|d\mu\left(t\right).$$

From Lemma 2 we get

$$\left|\sigma_m a\left(x\right)\right|\leq\frac{c2^{k+l}2^{M(1/p-1)}}{m},\quad x\in I_{l+1}\left(e_k+e_l\right),\,0\leq k<l<M, \tag{18}$$

and

$$\left|\sigma_m a\left(x\right)\right|\leq c2^{M(1/p-1)}2^k,\quad x\in I_M\left(e_k\right),\,0\leq k<M. \tag{19}$$

Combining (3) and (18-19) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\overline{I_M}}\left|\sigma_m a\left(x\right)\right|^p d\mu\left(x\right) \qquad (20)\\
&=\sum_{k=0}^{M-2}\sum_{l=k+1}^{M-1}\int_{I_{l+1}(e_k+e_l)}\left|\sigma_m a\left(x\right)\right|^p d\mu\left(x\right)+\sum_{k=0}^{M-1}\int_{I_M(e_k)}\left|\sigma_m a\left(x\right)\right|^p d\mu\left(x\right)\\
&\leq c\sum_{k=0}^{M-2}\sum_{l=k+1}^{M-1}\frac{1}{2^l}\frac{2^{p(k+l)}2^{M(1-p)}}{m^p}+c\sum_{k=0}^{M-1}\frac{1}{2^M}2^{M(1-p)}2^{pk}\\
&\leq \frac{c2^{M(1-p)}}{m^p}\sum_{k=0}^{M-2}\sum_{l=k+1}^{M-1}\frac{2^{p(k+l)}}{2^l}+c\sum_{k=0}^{M-1}\frac{2^{pk}}{2^{pM}}\\
&\leq \frac{c2^{M(1-p)}M^{[1/2+p]}}{m^p}+c.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\log^{[1/2+p]}n}\sum_{m=2^M+1}^{n}\frac{\int_{\overline{I_M}}\left|\sigma_m a\left(x\right)\right|^p d\mu\left(x\right)}{m^{2-2p}}\\
&\leq \frac{1}{\log^{[1/2+p]}n}\left(\sum_{m=2^M+1}^{n}\frac{c2^{M(1-p)}M^{[1/2+p]}}{m^{2-p}}+\sum_{m=2^M+1}^{n}\frac{c}{m^{2-2p}}\right)<c<\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Which complete the proof of first part of Theorem 1.

Now we proof second part of Theorem 1. Let $\Phi(n)$ is nondecreasing function, satisfying condition

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{2^{(|n_k|+1)(2-2p)}}{\Phi\left(2^{|n_k|+1}\right)}=\infty. \tag{21}$$

Under condition (21), there exists a sequence $\{\alpha_k:\ k\geq 0\}\subset\{n_k:\ k\geq 0\}$ such that

$$|\alpha_k|\geq 2,\quad \text{for all}\ \ k\geq 0 \tag{22}$$

and

$$\sum_{\eta=0}^{\infty}\frac{\Phi^{1/2}\left(2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}\right)}{2^{|\alpha_\eta|(1-p)}}=2^{1-p}\sum_{\eta=0}^{\infty}\frac{\Phi^{1/2}\left(2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}\right)}{2^{(|\alpha_\eta|+1)(1-p)}}<c<\infty. \tag{23}$$

Let

$$F_n=\sum_{\{k:\ |\alpha_k|<n\}}\lambda_k a_k,$$

where

$$\lambda_k=\frac{\Phi^{1/2p}\left(2^{|\alpha_k|+1}\right)}{2^{(|\alpha_k|)(1/p-1)}}$$

and

$$a_k=2^{|\alpha_k|(1/p-1)}\left(D_{2^{|\alpha_k|+1}}-D_{2^{|\alpha_k|}}\right).$$

It is easy to show that the martingale $F=(F_n,\ n\in\mathbb{N})\in H_p$.

Indeed, since

$$S_{2^n}a_k=\begin{cases}a_k, & |\alpha_k|<n,\\ 0, & |\alpha_k|\geq n,\end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{supp}(a_k) &= I_{|\alpha_k|},\\ \int_{I_{|\alpha_k|}}a_k d\mu &= 0\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|a_k\|_\infty\leq 2^{|\alpha_k|/p}=(\text{supp }a_k)^{-1/p}$$

if we apply Theorem W2 and (23) we conclude that $F\in H_p$.

It is easy to show that

$$\widehat{F}(j)=\begin{cases}\Phi^{1/2p}\left(2^{|\alpha_k|+1}\right), & \text{if}\ \ j\in\left\{2^{|\alpha_k|},...,2^{|\alpha_k|+1}-1\right\},\ k=0,1,2...,\\ 0\ , & \text{if}\ \ j\notin\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\{2^{|\alpha_k|},...,2^{|\alpha_k|+1}-1\right\}.\end{cases} \tag{24}$$

Let $2^{|\alpha_k|}<n<2^{|\alpha_k|+1}$. Using (24) we can write

$$\sigma_n F = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{2^{|\alpha_k|}} S_j F + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=2^{|\alpha_k|}+1}^{n} S_j F = III + IV. \tag{25}$$

It is simple to show that

$$S_j F = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \ 0 \le j \le 2^{|\alpha_0|} \\ \Phi^{1/2p}\left(2^{|\alpha_0|+1}\right)\left(D_j - D_{2^{|\alpha_0|}}\right), & \text{if } \ 2^{|\alpha_0|} < j \le 2^{|\alpha_0|+1}. \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

Suppose that $2^{|\alpha_s|} < j \le 2^{|\alpha_s|+1}$, for some $s = 1, 2, ..., k$. Then applying (24) we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_j F &= \sum_{v=0}^{2^{|\alpha_{s-1}|+1}-1} \widehat{F}(v) w_v + \sum_{v=2^{|\alpha_s|}}^{j-1} \widehat{F}(v) w_v \\ &= \sum_{\eta=0}^{s-1} \sum_{v=2^{|\alpha_\eta|}}^{2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}-1} \widehat{F}(v) w_v + \sum_{v=2^{|\alpha_s|}}^{j-1} \widehat{F}(v) w_v \\ &= \sum_{\eta=0}^{s-1} \sum_{v=2^{|\alpha_\eta|}}^{2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}-1} \Phi^{1/2p}\left(2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}\right) w_v + \Phi^{1/2p}\left(2^{|\alpha_s|+1}\right) \sum_{v=2^{|\alpha_s|}}^{j-1} w_v \\ &= \sum_{\eta=0}^{s-1} \Phi^{1/2p}\left(2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}\right)\left(D_{2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}} - D_{2^{|\alpha_\eta|}}\right) \\ &\quad + \Phi^{1/2p}\left(2^{|\alpha_s|+1}\right)\left(D_j - D_{2^{|\alpha_s|}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Let $2^{|\alpha_s|+1} \le j \le 2^{|\alpha_{s+1}|}$, $s = 0, 1, ...k-1$. Analogously of (27) we get

$$S_j F = \sum_{v=0}^{2^{|\alpha_s|+1}} \widehat{F}(v) w_v = \sum_{\eta=0}^{s} \Phi^{1/2p}\left(2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}\right)\left(D_{2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}} - D_{2^{|\alpha_\eta|}}\right). \tag{28}$$

Let $x \in I_2\left(e_0 + e_1\right)$. Since (see (4) and (8))

$$D_{2^n}(x) = K_{2^n}(x) = 0, \text{ for } n \ge 2, \tag{29}$$

from (22) and (27-28) we obtain

$$III = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{\eta=0}^{k-1} \Phi^{1/2p}\left(2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}\right) \sum_{v=2^{|\alpha_\eta|}+1}^{2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}} D_v(x) \tag{30}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{\eta=0}^{k-1} \Phi^{1/2p}\left(2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}\right)\left(2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1} K_{2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}}(x) - 2^{|\alpha_\eta|} K_{2^{|\alpha_\eta|}}(x)\right) = 0.$$

Applying (27), when $s = k$ in IV we have

$$IV = \frac{n-2^{|\alpha_k|}}{n}\sum_{\eta=0}^{k-1}\Phi^{1/2p}\left(2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}\right)\left(D_{2^{|\alpha_\eta|+1}}-D_{2^{|\alpha_\eta|}}\right) + \frac{\Phi^{1/2p}\left(2^{|\alpha_k|+1}\right)}{n}\sum_{j=2^{|\alpha_k|}+1}^{n}\left(D_j-D_{2^{|\alpha_k|}}\right)=IV_1+IV_2. \tag{31}$$

Combining (22) and (29) we get

$$IV_1=0, \quad \text{for} \quad x\in I_2\left(e_0+e_1\right). \tag{32}$$

Let $\alpha_k\in\mathbb{A}_{0,2}$, $2^{|\alpha_k|}<n<2^{|\alpha_k|+1}$ and $x\in I_2\left(e_0+e_1\right)$. Since $n-2^{|\alpha_k|}\in\mathbb{A}_{0,2}$ and

$$D_{j+2^m}=D_{2^m}+w_{2^m}D_j, \text{ when } \quad j<2^m \tag{33}$$

from (7) and (29) we obtain

$$|IV_2|=\frac{\Phi^{1/2p}\left(2^{|\alpha_k|+1}\right)}{n}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n-2^{|\alpha_k|}}\left(D_{j+2^{|\alpha_k|}}\left(x\right)-D_{2^{|\alpha_k|}}\left(x\right)\right)\right| \tag{34}$$

$$= \frac{\Phi^{1/2p}\left(2^{|\alpha_k|+1}\right)}{n}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n-2^{|\alpha_k|}}D_j\left(x\right)\right|$$

$$= \frac{\Phi^{1/2p}\left(2^{|\alpha_k|+1}\right)}{n}\left|\left(n-2^{|\alpha_k|}\right)K_{n-2^{|\alpha_k|}}\left(x\right)\right|\geq\frac{\Phi^{1/2p}\left(2^{|\alpha_k|+1}\right)}{2^{|\alpha_k|+1}}.$$

Let $0<p<1/2$ and $n\in\mathbb{A}_{0,2}$. Combining (25-34) we have

$$\|\sigma_nF\|_{L_{p,\infty}}^p \tag{35}$$

$$\geq \frac{c_p\Phi^{1/2}\left(2^{|\alpha_k|+1}\right)}{2^{p(|\alpha_k|+1)}}\mu\left\{x\in I_2\left(e_0+e_1\right):\ |\sigma_nF|\geq\frac{c_p\Phi^{1/2p}\left(2^{|\alpha_k|+1}\right)}{2^{|\alpha_k|+1}}\right\}$$

$$\geq \frac{c_p\Phi^{1/2}\left(2^{|\alpha_k|+1}\right)}{2^{p(|\alpha_k|+1)}}\mu\left\{I_2\left(e_0+e_1\right)\right\}\geq\frac{c_p\Phi^{1/2}\left(2^{|\alpha_k|+1}\right)}{2^{p(|\alpha_k|+1)}}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\|\sigma_n F\|_{L_{p,\infty}}^p}{\Phi(n)} \geq \sum_{\left\{n\in\mathbb{A}_{0,2}:\ 2^{|\alpha_k|}<n<2^{|\alpha_k|+1}\right\}}\frac{\|\sigma_n F\|_{L_{p,\infty}}^p}{\Phi(n)}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{\Phi^{1/2}\left(2^{|\alpha_k|+1}\right)}\sum_{\left\{n\in\mathbb{A}_{0,2}:\ 2^{|\alpha_k|}<n<2^{|\alpha_k|+1}\right\}}\frac{1}{2^{p(|\alpha_k|+1)}}$$

$$\geq \frac{c_p 2^{(1-p)(|\alpha_k|+1)}}{\Phi^{1/2}\left(2^{|\alpha_k|+1}\right)}\to\infty, \qquad \text{when} \quad k\to\infty.$$

Which complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let

$$F_m = 2^m\left(D_{2^m+1}-D_{2^m}\right).$$

It is evident

$$\widehat{F}_m(i) = \begin{cases} 2^m, \text{ if } i=2^m,...,2^{m+1}-1, \\ 0, \text{otherwise.}\end{cases} \tag{36}$$

We can write

$$S_i F_m = \begin{cases} 2^m\left(D_i - D_{2^m}\right), & \text{if } i=2^m+1,...,2^{m+1}-1, \\ F_m, & \text{if } i\geq 2^{m+1}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases} \tag{37}$$

From (4) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|F_m\|_{H_{1/2}} &= \left\|\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}|S_{2^k}F_m|\right\|_{1/2} = 2^m\left\|D_{2^m+1}-D_{2^m}\right\|_{1/2} \\ &= 2^m\left\|D_{2^m}\right\|_{1/2}\leq 1.\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Let $0<n<2^m$. Using (33) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|\sigma_{n+2^m}F_m| &= \frac{1}{n+2^m}\left|\sum_{j=2^m+1}^{n+2^m}S_j F_m\right| \\ &= \frac{1}{n+2^m}\left|2^m\sum_{j=2^m+1}^{n+2^m}\left(D_j-D_{2^m}\right)\right| \\ &= \frac{1}{n+2^m}\left|2^m\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(D_{j+2^m}-D_{2^m}\right)\right| \\ &= \frac{1}{n+2^m}\left|2^m\sum_{j=1}^{n}D_j\right| = \frac{2^m}{n+2^m}n\left|K_n\right|.\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Let $n=\sum_{i=1}^{s}\sum_{k=l_i}^{m_i}2^k$, where $0\leq l_1\leq m_1\leq l_2-2<l_2\leq m_2\leq ...\leq l_s-2<l_s\leq m_s$. Combining Lemma 3 and (39) we write

$$|\sigma_{n+2^m}F_m\left(x\right)|\geq c2^{2l_i},\quad \text{for } x\in I_{l_i+1}\left(e_{l_i-1}+e_{l_i}\right).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_G|\sigma_{n+2^m}F_m(x)|^{1/2}\,d\mu\left(x\right)\\ \geq&\sum_{i=0}^{s}\int_{I_{l_i+1}\left(e_{l_i-1}+e_{l_i}\right)}|\sigma_{n+2^m}F_m(x)|^{1/2}\,d\mu\left(x\right)\\ \geq&\ c\sum_{i=0}^{s}\frac{1}{2^{l_i}}2^{l_i}\geq cs\geq cV\left(n\right).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, Fine [3] proved that

$$\frac{1}{n\log n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}V\left(k\right)=\frac{1}{4\log 2}+o\left(1\right).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}_+}\sup_{\|f\|_{H_p}\leq 1}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\|\sigma_kF\|_{1/2}^{1/2}\\ \geq&\ \frac{1}{2^{m+1}}\sum_{k=2^m+1}^{2^{m+1}-1}\|\sigma_kF_m\|_{1/2}^{1/2}\\ \geq&\ \frac{c}{2^{m+1}}\sum_{k=2^m+1}^{2^{m+1}-1}V\left(k-2^m\right)\geq\frac{c}{2^{m+1}}\sum_{k=1}^{2^m-1}V\left(k\right)\\ \geq&\ c\log m\to\infty,\ \text{when}\ \ m\to\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Which complete the proof of Theorem 2.

Acknowledgment: The author would like to thank the referee for helpful suggestions.

References

[1] *I. Blahota, G. Gát,* and *U. Goginava,* Maximal operators of Fejér means of double Vilenkin-Fourier series, Colloq. Math. 107 (2007), 287–296.

[2] *I. Blahota, G. Gát,* and *U. Goginava,* Maximal operators of Fejér means of Vilenkin-Fourier series. JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 7 (2006), 1-7.

[3] *N.J. Fine,* On the Walsh function, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 65 (1949), 372-414.

[4] *N. J. Fujii,* A maximal inequality for H_1 functions on the generalized Walsh-Paley group, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1979), lll-116.

[5] *G. Gát,* Inverstigations of certain operators with respect to the Vilenkin sistem, Acta Math. Hung., 61 (1993), 131-149.

[6] *G. Gát,* Cesàro means of integrable functions with respect to unbounded Vilenkin systems. J. Approx. Theory 124 (2003), 25–43.

[7] *U. Goginava,* Maximal operators of Fejér means of double Walsh-Fourier series. Acta Math. Hungar. 115 (2007), 333–340.

[8] *U. Goginava,* On the approximation properties of Cesàro means of negative order of Walsh-Fourier series. J. Approx. Theory 115 (2002), 9-20.
[9] *U. Goginava,* Maximal operators of Fejér-Walsh means. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 74 (2008), 615–624.
[10] *U. Goginava,* The martingale Hardy type inequality for Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of two-dimensional conjugate Walsh-Fourier series, Acta Math. Sinica, 27, (2011), 1949-1958.
[11] *B. Golubov, A. Efimov and V. Skvortsov,* Walsh series and transformations, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1991. Kluwer Acad. publ, 1991.
[12] *J. Pál* and *P. Simon,* On a generalization of the comncept of derivate, Acta Math. Hung., 29 (1977), 155-164.
[13] *F.Schipp, W.R. Wade, P. Simon, J. Pál,* Walsh series, An Introduction to Duadic Harmonic Analysis, Akademiai Kiadó, (Budapest-AdamHilger (Bristol-New-York)), 1990.
[14] *F.Schipp,* Certain rearranngements of series in the Walsh series, Mat. Zametki, 18 (1975), 193-201.
[15] *P. Simon,* Cesaro summability wish respect to two-parameter Walsh sistems, Monatsh. Math ., 131 (2000), 321-334.
[16] *P. Simon,* Inverstigations wish respect to the Vilenkin sistem, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest Eotv., Sect. Math., 28 (1985) 87-101.
[17] *P. Simon,* A note on the of the Sunouchi operator with respect to Vilenkin systems, Annales Univ. Sci. Sect. Math. Budapest (2001).
[18] *P. Simon.* Strong Convergence Theorem for Vilenkin-Fourier Series. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 245, (2000), pp. 52-68 .
[19] *P. Simon.* Strong convergence of certain means with respect to the Walsh-Fourier series, Acta Math. Hung., 49 (1-2) (1987), 425-431.
[20] *P. Simon, F. Weisz*, Strong convergence theorem for two-parameter Vilenkin-Fourier series. Acta Math. Hungar. 86, (2000), 17-38.
[21] *B. Smith,* A strong convergence theorem for $H_1(T)$, in Lecture Notes in Math., 995, Springer, Berlin, (1994), 169-173.
[22] *G. Tephnadze,* Fejér means of Vilenkin-Fourier series, Stud. sci. math. Hung., 49, (2012) 79-90.
[23] *G. Tephnadze,* On the maximal operator of Vilenkin-Fejér means, Turk. J. Math, 37, (2013), 308-318.
[24] *G. Tephnadze,* On the maximal operators of Vilenkin-Fejér means on Hardy spaces, Mathematical Inequalities & Applications., Vol. 16 (2013), 301–312.
[25] *F. Weisz*, Cesáro summability of one- and two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series, Anal. Math. 22 (1996), 229-242.
[26] *F. Weisz*, Weak type inequalities for the Walsh and bounded Ciesielski systems. Anal. Math. 30 (2004), 147–160.
[27] *F. Weisz*, Hardy spaces and Cesáro means of two-dimensional Fourier series, Bolyai Soc. math. Studies, (1996), 353-367.
[28] *F.Weisz,* Strong convergence theorems for two-parameter Walsh-Fourier and trigonometric-Fourier series. (English) Stud. Math. 117 (1996), 173-194.
[29] *F. Weisz,* Martingale Hardy spaces and their applications in Fourier Analysis, Springer, Berlin-Heideiberg-New York, 1994.
[30] *F. Weisz,* Summability of multi-dimensional Fourier series and Hardy space, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2002.

G. Tephnadze, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences, Tbilisi State University, Chavchavadze str. 1, Tbilisi 0128, Georgia
E-mail address: giorgitephnadze@gmail.com